Operator Convergence of Diffusion Maps and the Bistochastic Normalisation

Caroline L. Wormell

Joint work with Sebastian Reich

May 25, 2021

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Introduction

Diffusion maps: on a random point sample, create matrix approximation of semigroup of weighted Laplacian.

- Eigendata of Laplacian (e.g. for dimensionality reduction, visualisation...)
- Non-parametric forecasting
- Approximation of more complex operators (e.g. Berry '18)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Diffusion maps

- Sample of *M* points $x^i \sim \rho$ abs. cts on domain $\mathbb{D} = (\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z})^d$.
- Construct $M \times M$ kernel matrix K

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{M}g_{\epsilon}(x^i - x^j)$$

where g_{ϵ} is Gaussian kernel of variance ϵ .

With appropriate weight vectors u and v := 1/(Ku), construct Markov matrix

$$P = \operatorname{diag} v K \operatorname{diag} u$$

▶ As $M \to \infty$ and $\epsilon \to 0$ appropriately, P is approximation of $e^{\epsilon \mathcal{L}}$ where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta + \log p \cdot \nabla \phi$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Diffusion maps: convergence rates

Expect in general:

$$\left\| f(P^{t/\epsilon}) - f(e^{t\mathcal{L}}) \right\| = \mathcal{O}\left(\underbrace{M^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon^{-\frac{d}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}\log(\cdots)^{\cdots}}_{\text{"variance error"}} + \underbrace{\epsilon^{\theta}}_{\text{"bias error"}}\right)$$

Know rigorously this works for

- f = pointwise evaluation of functions (von Luxburg et al. '08)
- f = eigendata of graph Laplacian (Calder and Trillos '20)



Figure: Effective support of g_{ϵ} contains $\mathcal{O}(M\epsilon^{d/2})$ data points.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Questions

Some mysteries we will investigate:

- 1. How does an operator defined on a random point cloud converge *as an operator* to a continuous kernel? (At the rate seen in practice?)
- 2. What is the (best possible) exponent in the bias error? How can we best choose weight vectors?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Kernel operator interpolation

The following operator on $C^0(\mathbb{D})$ matches kernel matrix K at sample points:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}^{M}\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1}{M} g_{\epsilon}(\cdot - x^{i})\phi(x^{i}) = g_{\epsilon} * [\rho^{M}\phi]$$

As $M o \infty$, expect \mathcal{K}^M_ϵ to converge to continuous kernel operator

$$\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}\phi := \mathbf{g}_{\epsilon} * [\rho\phi],$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

ideally in some Banach space $\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon} \subseteq C^0$.

Kernel operator interpolation

Because $g_\epsilon = g_{\epsilon/2} * g_{\epsilon/2}$ we can try for

$$\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{M}} - \mathcal{K}_{\epsilon} = \underbrace{g_{\epsilon/2} *}_{\text{bd. } \mathcal{C}^{0} \to \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}} \underbrace{(\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon/2}^{\mathcal{M}} - \mathcal{K}_{\epsilon/2})}_{\text{small } \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon} \to \mathcal{C}^{0}}$$
$$= \text{small } \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon} \to \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

Choice of \mathcal{B}_{ϵ}

As $\epsilon \to 0$, convolution by $g_{\epsilon} * \phi \to \phi$, so we expect $\mathcal{B}_0 = C^0$. Let the complex domain

$$\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} = \mathbb{D} + B_{\mathbb{C}}(\sqrt{\epsilon/2}).$$

One of the "smallest" candidates is

 $\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ \text{ct's analytic functions on } \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon} \}$

endowed with $C^0(\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon})$ norm. This is good because

 $\|g_{\epsilon/2} * \phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}} = \|g_{\epsilon/2}\|_{L^{1}(\partial \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon})} \|\phi\|_{C^{0}} = e^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{C^{0}}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

which gives us the bounded norm $C^0 \to \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon/2}$.

Kernel operator interpolation

Want to show that, up to log terms,

$$\delta := \|\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon/2}\phi - \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{M}}_{\epsilon/2}\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon} \to C^{0}} \approx \text{pointwise bound} = \mathcal{O}(M^{-1/2}\epsilon^{-d/4})$$

We know that* for fixed ϕ and x,

$$\left| (\mathcal{K}_\epsilon \phi - \mathcal{K}^M_\epsilon \phi)(x) \right| \leq rac{C \epsilon^{-d/4}}{M^{1/2}} |\mathcal{N}(0,1)|,$$

i.e error is $\mathcal{O}(M^{-1/2}\epsilon^{-d/4})$

How to extend efficiently to uniform bounds for all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}, x \in \mathbb{D}$?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

* except for large deviations

Kernel operator interpolation

Want to show that, up to log terms,

$$\delta := \|\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon/2}\phi - \mathcal{K}^{M}_{\epsilon/2}\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon} \to \mathcal{C}^{0}} \approx \text{pointwise bound} = \mathcal{O}(M^{-1/2}\epsilon^{-d/4})$$

We know that* for fixed ϕ and x,

$$\left| (\mathcal{K}_\epsilon \phi - \mathcal{K}^M_\epsilon \phi)(x) \right| \leq rac{C \epsilon^{-d/4}}{M^{1/2}} |\mathcal{N}(0,1)|,$$

i.e error is $\mathcal{O}(M^{-1/2}\epsilon^{-d/4})$

How to extend efficiently to uniform bounds for all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}$? Say,

$$\sup_{\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}}=1}\left|\left(\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}\phi-\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}^{M}\phi\right)(x)\right|\sim\frac{C\epsilon^{-d/4}}{M^{1/2}}\times \text{log terms}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

* except for large deviations

We have (bad) a priori estimate

$$\|\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}-\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{M}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0}}\leq 2\sup g_{\epsilon}=\mathcal{C}\epsilon^{-d/2}$$
 .

The unit ball in \mathcal{B}_{ϵ} is compact in C^{0} , so we can cover the unit ball with a finite number of C^{0} balls, i.e. there is a collection of $\#(\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon},\xi)$ functions ϕ_{n} so that every ϕ with $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}} \leq 1$ has $\|\phi_{n} - \phi\| \leq \xi$ for some *n*.

Naive idea (Glivenko-Cantelli)

Maximising over the ϕ_n ,

$$\sup_{n} \left| (\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon} \phi_{n} - \mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}^{M} \phi_{n})(x) \right| \leq \frac{C \epsilon^{-d/4}}{M^{1/2}} \mathcal{N}_{\#(\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon},\xi)},$$

where the maximum absolute value of T (non-ind.) standard normal distributions is $\mathcal{N}_T = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\log T})$. Thus,

$$\sup_{\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}}=1}\left|(\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}\phi-\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}^{M}\phi)(x)\right|\leq \frac{C\epsilon^{-d/4}}{M^{1/2}}\mathcal{N}_{\#(\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon},\xi)}+C\epsilon^{-d/2}\xi.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Want $\sqrt{\log \#(\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon},\xi)}$ to grow sub-polynomially with $\epsilon, \xi \to 0$.

Naive idea (Glivenko-Cantelli)

In practice, if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a hypercube of length L then

$$\log \#(C^0(X), B_{\epsilon}(X), \xi) = \mathcal{O}\left((L\epsilon^{-1/2}\log\xi^{-1})^d\right)$$

This gives us problems when $\epsilon^{1/2} \ll \operatorname{diam} \mathbb{D}$.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Local Glivenko-Cantelli

However, we only see ϕ on a small part of the domain!

$$(g_{\epsilon/2} * \psi)(x) = g_{\epsilon/2} * (\mathbb{1}_{B(x, l\sqrt{\epsilon})}\psi) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-Cl^2}) \|\psi\|_{L^1}.$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

~

Local Glivenko-Cantelli

We really just want a set of radius $I\sqrt{\epsilon}$, where I grows logarithmically.

 $\mathcal{B}^{x,l}_{\epsilon} := \{ \text{bd. analytic functions on } B_{\mathbb{R}}(x, l\sqrt{\epsilon}) + B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \sqrt{\epsilon}/2) \} \supset \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}.$

with

$$\log \#(\mathcal{B}^{ extsf{x},l}_{\epsilon},\xi) = \mathcal{O}\left((l\log\xi^{-1})^d
ight)$$

and we are in business:

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}}=1} \left| (\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}\phi - \mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}^{M}\phi)(x) \right| &\leq \frac{C\epsilon^{-d/4}}{M^{1/2}} \mathcal{N}_{\#(\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{x},l},\xi)} + C\epsilon^{-d/2}\xi + Ce^{-Cl^2} \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{-d/4}M^{-1/2}(\log M\epsilon^{-1})^{d-1/2}\right) \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◇ ◇ ◇

Local Glivenko-Cantelli

We can use an easier compactness argument to extend to a supremum over all x, giving

$$\begin{split} \delta &:= \left\| (\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon/2}\phi - \mathcal{K}_{\epsilon/2}^{\mathcal{M}})\phi \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon} \to C^{0}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{-d/4} M^{-1/2} (\log M \epsilon^{-1})^{d-1/2} \right) \\ &= \text{appropriately small} \end{split}$$

All discretisation errors are then controlled by δ ! In particular,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}^{M}-\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}}=\mathcal{O}(\delta).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Comments

Result: convergence of spectral data, complex operator problems, etc. at near-pointwise rates.

- Requires very smooth kernel with exponentially decaying tails.
- Will generalise nicely to curved manifolds.
- Argument not based on Markov normalisation.
- Specialisation to Markov kernels would improve by O(ε^{1/2}) factor (Singer '06, Calder and Trillos '20).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Our weight vectors u, v are interpolated by functions $U_{\epsilon}^{M}, V_{\epsilon}^{M}$ which converge to $U_{\epsilon}, V_{\epsilon}$ as $M \to \infty$. Have infinite limit

$$\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}\phi = V_{\epsilon}\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}[U_{\epsilon}\phi].$$

Want to show that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\mathcal{P}_\epsilon o \mathsf{e}^{\epsilon \mathcal{L}}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬる

Our weight vectors u, v are interpolated by functions $U_{\epsilon}^{M}, V_{\epsilon}^{M}$ which converge to $U_{\epsilon}, V_{\epsilon}$ as $M \to \infty$. Have infinite limit

$$\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}\phi = V_{\epsilon}\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}[U_{\epsilon}\phi].$$

Want to show that as $\epsilon \to 0$

$$\mathcal{P}^{t/\epsilon}_{\epsilon}
ightarrow e^{t\mathcal{L}}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬる

Know \mathcal{L} is generator of SDE for invariant density p

$$\mathrm{d}X = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla p\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$$

We can study $\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}^{t/\epsilon}$ as the evolution operator of a (time-varying) SDE.

Let

$$e^{s_t} = g_t * (\rho U_\epsilon) = e^{t\Delta/2} (\rho U_\epsilon).$$

Then $\rho U_{\epsilon} = e^{s_0}$ and $V_{\epsilon} = e^{-s_{\epsilon}}$.

$$\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}\phi := V_{\epsilon}g_{\epsilon} \star (\rho U_{\epsilon}\phi) = e^{-s_{\epsilon}}e^{\epsilon\Delta/2}e^{s_{0}}\phi$$

is time- ϵ operator of forward equation of SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -\nabla s_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$$

So $\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}^{t/\epsilon}$ is the time-*t* operator of

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \underbrace{-\nabla s_{\epsilon\{t/\epsilon\}}}_{\text{fast, periodic}} \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Time-average with $\mathcal{O}(t\epsilon^2)$ error:

 $\mathrm{d}X_t \approx -\nabla \bar{s}\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$

$$\begin{split} \bar{s} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^{\epsilon} s_t \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (s_0 + s_\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log(\rho U_\epsilon / V_\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \end{split}$$

Time-average with $\mathcal{O}(t\epsilon^2)$ error:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t \approx -\nabla \bar{s}\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{s} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} s_{t} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (s_{0} + s_{\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}) \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \log(\rho U_{\epsilon} / V_{\epsilon})}_{\mathrm{want} = \frac{1}{2} \log p} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}) \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Time-average with $\mathcal{O}(t\epsilon^2)$ error:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t \approx -\nabla \bar{s}\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{s} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} s_{t} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{0} + s_{\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}) \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\log(\rho U_{\epsilon}/V_{\epsilon})}_{\mathrm{want} = \frac{1}{2}\log p} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}) \end{split}$$

Typically we fit e^{s₀}/ρ = U_ε ≈ p^{1/2}/ρ. Since s_ε = s₀ + O(ε), get O(ε) error (for ρ ∈ C^{3/2+α}).

Time-average with $\mathcal{O}(t\epsilon^2)$ error:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t \approx -\nabla \bar{s}\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$$

$$\bar{s} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} s_{t} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(s_{0} + s_{\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2})$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\log(\rho U_{\epsilon}/V_{\epsilon})}_{\text{want}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2})$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\log \rho}$$

Typically we fit e^{s₀}/ρ = U_ε ≈ p^{1/2}/ρ. Since s_ε = s₀ + O(ε), get O(ε) error (for ρ ∈ C^{3/2+α}).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Optimally accurate approximation is O(ε²), obtained via fitting weight ratio: U_ε/V_ε = p/ρ.

Sinkhorn problem

Since by Markov constraint $V = 1/(\mathcal{K}U)$, this means solving symmetric Sinkhorn problem for U:

$$U \times (\mathcal{K}U) = p/\rho.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Only need ρ, p ∈ C^{2+α} for O(ε²) eigendata convergence.
 Fast iterative algorithm to compute U.

Sinkhorn problem

Since by Markov constraint $V = 1/(\mathcal{K}U)$, this means solving symmetric Sinkhorn problem for U:

$$U \times (\mathcal{K}U) = p/\rho.$$

▶ Only need $\rho, p \in C^{2+\alpha}$ for $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ eigendata convergence.

Fast iterative algorithm to compute U.

In paper: $p = \rho$, i.e. \mathcal{L} generates Langevin diffusion on ρ .

• P symmetric (U = V)

P bistochastic (i.e. gives reversible Markov chain)

Comments

- In practice variance error O(M^{-1/2}ϵ^{-d/4-1/2}) will dominate bias error O(ϵ²)!
- Expect convergence speed-up to work for symmetric kernels with correct 4th moments

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

• Only expect $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ convergence on curved domains

Paper

We give, albeit in fairly specific setting, operator convergence with:

Near-pointwise convergence rates for variance error

Optimal convergence rates/choice of weights for bias error In paper: proof of spectral convergence rates for standard and bistochastic normalisations.

Wormell, Caroline L., and Sebastian Reich. "Spectral convergence of diffusion maps: improved error bounds and an alternative normalisation." arxiv:2006.02037, to appear in SINUM (2021).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・